## Sample of a Visual Cracking - Damaged Blueberry Protocol

Purpose: While freezing enables a longer shelf life for foods, such as blueberries, the freezing process can impart some changes to the overall quality. For example, an increase in cracks and/or splits of blueberries may be an indicator that the processing conditions may need to be adjusted. Implementing a visual inspection protocol is an easy quality testing method that can monitor the overall quality of freezing berries. The method outlined below describes how to implement a quality visual inspection for IQF blueberries.

Method: This method is based of Sanford et al. and Wojtas et al. (add date). This is an attribute based on observing the blueberries visually. In the freezing process some of the berries crack and damage therefore, implementing a visual inspection can help manage the quality during production.

## Supplies:

- Scale
- Sampling vessel/cup
- Camera (optional)

Procedure:

1. Label the boats
2. Weigh a sample of 50 grams and examine each berry as damaged or intact.
3. Weigh and count the amount of damaged (unacceptable) berries.
4. Calculate using the formula

Acceptability threshold: Damaged (unacceptable) berries are defined as berries with noticeable cracking and/or splitting of the produce tissue. Figure 1 displays two samples where one berry (Sample A) is intact compared to the other berry that shows significant cracking (Sample B) due to the freezing process.


Sample A


Sample B

Figure 1. Comparisons of acceptable (Sample A) and unacceptable (Sample B) frozen blueberries. As shown in Sample there is a noticeable cracking.

Mathematical calculations: Percent damaged blueberry is calculated by dividing the average weight of the damaged blueberries by the average total blueberry weight, times $100 \%$.

$$
\% \text { of Damaged } \begin{gathered}
\text { Berry }= \\
\text { (Total Blueberry) }
\end{gathered} \times 100 \%
$$

Example: Below is an example of the calculated damaged berry ratio reported after three sampling pulls at the XYZ Production facility. Sampling accounts for the average using the three reported values. The calculation is reported below the data set.

|  | Total Blueberry <br> $\mathbf{l}$ <br>  | Damaged <br> Blueberry (g) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{m 1}$ | 51.00 | 15.00 |
| $\mathbf{m 2}$ | 49.98 | 17.08 |
| $\mathbf{m 3}$ | 53.10 | 4.56 |
| Average | 51.36 | 12.21 |
| Percent damaged | $23.78 \%$ |  |

Sample of percent damaged calculation:


## Sample of log damaged blueberry:

|  |  |  |  | Name |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production date |  |  |  |  |
|  | Production Location |  |  |  |  |
|  | Production <br> Parameters |  |  |  |  |
|  | Date of analysis |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Blueberry (g) | Damaged <br> Blueberry (g) |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{m 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{m 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{m 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent damaged |  |  |  |  |  |
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